

Case-Control Study on IGFBP3 Gene Polymorphism and Susceptibility to Gastric Cancer in a Chinese Han Population

Huiwen Pan^{1*}; Guowen Ding¹; Jingfeng Zhu¹; Keping Chen²

¹Cardiothoracic Surgery Department, The Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University, Jiangsu, China.

²School of Life Sciences, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, China, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China.

***Corresponding Author: Huiwen Pan**

Cardiothoracic Surgery Department, The Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University, Jiangsu, China.
Email: 2659947550@qq.com

Article Info

Received: Nov 14, 2022

Accepted: Dec 14, 2022

Published: Dec 21, 2022

Archived: www.jclinmedsurgery.com

Copyright: © Pan H (2022).

Abstract...

Background: This study investigated the role of the IGFBP3 gene rs3110697 and rs6953668 polymorphisms on the risk of gastric cancer.

Methods: A case-controlled study was conducted, including 490 primary gastric cancers and 1476 normal controls. The target gene fragment was amplified in blood samples using PCR. Genotyping was performed using the snapshot method.

Results: The control group had a consistent genotype frequency distribution and presented Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Smoking was correlated with the incidence of gastric cancer ($P = 0.001$), and drinking history showed a significant difference between cases and controls ($P < 0.001$). A significant difference was observed in the rs6953668 heterozygous mutations GA and GA+AA distribution frequencies between the case and control groups.

Conclusion: Smoking and drinking can increase the risk of gastric cancer. The IGFBP3 gene rs6953668 polymorphism was significantly correlated with the risk of gastric cancer. In contrast, the IGFBP3 gene rs3110697 polymorphism showed no significant correlation with the risk of gastric cancer.

Keywords: IGFBP3 gene; Gastric cancer; Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP); rs6953668; rs3110697.

Abbreviations: GC: Gastric cancer; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; OR: Odds ratio; MAF: Minor Allele Frequency; SNPs: Single nucleotide polymorphisms.

Citation: Pan H, Ding G, Zhu J, Chen K. Case-Control Study on IGFBP3 Gene Polymorphism and Susceptibility to Gastric Cancer in a Chinese Han Population. *J Clin Med Surgery*. 2022; 2(2): 1063.

Introduction

According to 2020 statistics, over 1 million new cases of gastric cancer and about 769,000 deaths were recorded worldwide, ranking 5th in the incidence rate and 4th in the death rate among malignant tumors [1]. The incidence of gastric cancer is related to *H. pylori* infection and environmental factors, etc. Flow survey data showed that the incidence of gastric cancer varies significantly across different regions, with a higher incidence in East Asia. However, although the rate of Hp infection is high in Africa and South Asia, the incidence of gastric cancer is low. In addition, the rate of Hp infection in the Western population is 30%, but the final incidence of gastric cancer only reaches 0.1% to 1% [2,3]. These data suggest that under the same environmental exposure, individuals with different genetic backgrounds have different susceptibility to gastric cancer. This susceptibility is currently thought to be determined by individual genetic factors, the most common of which are single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), which are the main form of genetic variation between individuals [4].

SNPs can have an impact on tumor susceptibility [5,6]. Tumor formation is associated with cell proliferation, and regulatory genes in the cell proliferation pathway (Runx3, MDM2 and IGF) are highly likely to influence tumor development [7-9]. IGFBP-3 belongs to the insulin-like growth factor (IGF) family, which includes the peptide ligands IGF-I and IGF-II, insulin-like growth factor receptors and insulin growth factor binding proteins (IGFBP-1 to IGFBP-6, mainly IGFBP-3) [10]. IGFBP3 not only binds through IGF-I to regulate IGF-I levels, but also independently inhibits replication and promotes apoptosis [7]. Circulating levels of IGFBP-3 independently increase the risk of tumor development, including prostate, ovarian, breast, rectal and lung cancers [11]. Several case-control studies have focused on the association between IGFBP3 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and cancer risk. Terry et al. showed that IGFBP3 rs2270628 C>T was associated with an increased risk of ovarian cancer [12]. Breast cancer survival in Chinese women was significantly correlated with IGFBP3 rs3110697 G>A [13]. Fur-

thermore, Chen et al. reported that IGFBP3 rs2270628 C>T and rs3110697 G>A single nucleotide polymorphisms were associated with a significantly increase risk of non-small cell lung cancer [14]. However, studies exploring the association between IGFBP3 gene polymorphisms and gastric cancer are scarce and the mechanism remains unclear. In order to explore their association, this paper examined two loci of IGFBP3 and assessed their association with the risk of gastric cancer, aiming to provide new methods for the prevention and treatment of gastric cancer.

Methods

Study population: 490 healthy subjects and 1476 GC patients were recruited from the Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University from May 2013 to June 2017. **Ethics statement:** This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University provided. Patients and controls provided written informed consent. **DNA extraction and genotype analysis:** Peripheral blood from each subject was used for DNA extraction. ExoI and FastAP were used to purify PCR amplicons and further analysis was conducted. ABI3730XL was used for sequence analysis to determine the genotypes with the Snapshot method, and the samples were analyzed with the Sample power software. The statistical power was set at 0.8, the Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) was set above 5%, and the two-sided test with $\alpha=0.05$ as the significance level (Power and Sample Size Calculations, Version 3.0, January 2009). Multivariate and univariate analysis was performed to investigate the relationship between the IGFBP3 gene and patient characteristics. The factors included alcohol consumption, sex, smoking and age.

Statistical analysis

SPSS version 20.0 was used for data analysis (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The polymorphism frequency distributions were analyzed by the Chi-square test. The relationship between genotype frequencies and the risk of cancer was explored using logistic regression analysis and T-test analysis.

Table 1: Primary information for gene IGFBP3 gene rs3110697 and rs6953668 polymorphisms.

Genotyped SNPs	Gene(ID)	Chr.	Chr Pos (NCBI Build 38)	Region	MAFa for Chinese in database	MAF in our controls (n = 1,476)	P-value for HWEb test in our controls	Genotyping method	Genotyping value (%)
rs3110697	IGFBP3	7	45915430	Intron-variant	A=0.220	0.254	0.231	SNPscan	99.5%
rs6953668	IGFBP3	7	45916276	Intron-variant	A=0.081	0.047	0.867	SNPscan	99.3%

MAF: Minor Allele Frequency; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium

The findings indicated that IGFBP3 gene rs3110697 and rs6953668 polymorphisms were located on the first chromosome (Table 1). IGFBP3 gene rs3110697 and rs6953668 were located on chromosome 7, with rs3110697 chromosome position at 45915430, and rs6953668 chromosome position at 45916276. In the control group, the Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) of rs3110697 was 0.254, while the Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) of rs6953668 was 0.047. The controls demonstrated Hardy Weinberg equilibrium values of 0.231 and 0.867 ($P > 0.05$). This implies that the sample population in this study was highly representative. More than 99.0% successful tests were obtained using the snapshot method.

The environmental risk factors and demographics of the study subjects are displayed in Table 2. There was no statistical difference in age and sex ($P=0.597$ and $P=0.891$, respectively) between the control and case groups. However, the case group showed a higher smoking rate than that of the controls (36.94% vs. 28.79%, $P = 0.001$), and a higher drinking rate than that of the controls (23.67% vs. 10.64%, $P < 0.001$). This implies that smoking and drinking potentially increase the incidence of gastric cancer.

Table 2: Distribution of selected demographic variables and risk factors in gastric cancer cases and control.

variate	Case (n=490)	Control (n=1,476)	P
	n(%)	n(%)	
Age (year)	60.65 ± 11.43	61.30 ± 9.60	0.220
			0.597
< 61	221 (45.10)	686 (46.48)	
≥61	269 (54.90)	790 (53.52)	
Sex			0.891
male	331 (67.55)	1,002 (67.89)	
female	159 (32.45)	474 (32.11)	
Smoking			0.001
No	309 (63.06)	1,051 (71.21)	
Yes	181 (36.94)	425 (28.79)	
Drinking			<0.001
No	374 (76.33)	1,319 (89.36)	
Yes	116 (23.67)	157 (10.64)	

Bold values indicate statistical significance ($P < 0.05$).

Table 3: IGFBP3 gene rs3110697 and rs6953668 polymorphism in GC cases and controls and logistic regression analysis.

Genotype	GC Cases (n=490)		Controls (n=1,496)		Crude OR (95%CI)	P	Adjusted OR ^a (95%CI)	P _a
	n	%	n	%				
IGFBP3 rs3110697								
GG	254	52.37	827	56.18	1.00			
GA	201	41.44	541	36.75	1.21 (0.98-1.50)	0.082	1.22 (0.98-1.52)	0.080
AA	30	6.19	104	7.07	0.94 (0.61-1.44)	0.775	0.95 (0.76-1.18)	0.632
GA+AA	231	33.67	645	32.04	1.17 (0.95-1.43)	0.143	1.07 (0.97-1.19)	0.192
GA+GG	455	66.33	1368	67.96	1.15 (0.76-1.76)	0.506	0.91 (0.73-1.13)	0.390
IGFBP3 rs6953668 GA								
GG	452	93.39	1333	90.74	1.00			
GA	30	6.20	133	9.05	0.67 (0.44-1.00)	0.050	0.62 (0.41-0.95)	0.027
AA	2	0.41	3	0.21	1.97 (0.33-11.80)	0.606	1.36 (0.53-3.48)	0.518
GA+AA	32	6.61	136	9.26	0.69 (0.47-1.04)	0.072	0.81 (0.66-0.99)	0.038
GG+GA	482	9.37	1466	91.51	0.49 (0.08-2.96)	0.603	1.38 (0.54-3.81)	0.504

Bold values indicate statistical significance ($P < 0.05$).

Analysis of the distribution of IGFBP3 rs6953668 GA showed no statistically significant difference in the distribution frequency of GA heterozygous mutations based on wild-type GG between the two groups ($P = 0.050$). The frequency distribution of rs6953668 GA in the case group was 6.2% and 9.05% in the control group. However, drinking, smoking, age and sex showed significant differences after adjustment using logistic regression ($P_a = 0.027$). The distribution frequency of GA+AA mutants in the two groups was $P = 0.072$, the frequency distribution of rs6953668 GA+AA in the case group was 6.61% and 9.26% in the control group, and after adjustment of confounding factors was statistically difference $P_a = 0.038$, OR 95%CI=0.81(0.66-0.99) (Tables 3).

The findings showed no significant difference in the IGFBP3 rs3110697 frequency distribution between the case group and the healthy group in all types. With wild-type GG as reference, no significant difference was observed in the distribution frequency of GA, AA, GA+AA, and GA+GG mutations between the case group and the control group ($P = 0.082$, $P_a = 0.080$; $P = 0.775$, $P_a = 0.632$; $P = 0.143$, $P_a = 0.192$; $P = 0.506$, $P_a = 0.390$, respectively).

Table 4: Stratified analyses between IGFBP3 gene rs6953668 polymorphism and risk by sex, age, smoking status and alcohol consumption.

variate	IGFBP3 rs6953668 (Case/control)				OR (95%CI); P				
	GG	GA	AA		GG	GA	AA	GA+AA	AAvs(GA+GG)
Sex									
Male	308/ 903	18/90	1/3		1.00	0.59(0.35-0.99)	0.98(0.10-9.43)	0.59(0.36-0.99)	1.02(0.10-9.80)
					P:0.043	P:0.984	P:0.047	P:0.989	
Female	144/ 430	12/43	1/0		1.00	1.20(0.62-2.34)	0.25(0.22-0.29)	1.11(0.58-2.12)	4.03(3.52-4.62)
					P:0.592	P:0.252	P:0.757	P:0.082	
Age (years)									
<61	200/ 620	17/ 61	1/1		1.00	0.86(0.49-1.51)	3.1(0.19-48.79)	0.90(0.52-1.56)	3.14(0.20-50.38)
					P:0.609	P:0.400	P:0.706	P:0.394	
≥61	252/713	13/72	1/2		1.00	0.51(0.28-0.94)	1.42(0.13-15.67)	0.535(0.30-0.97)	1.481(0.13-16.4)
					P:0.028	P:0.776	P:0.035	P:0.747	
Smoking status									
Never	285/ 949	18/98	2/0		1.00	0.61(0.36-1.03)	0.99(0.98-1.00)	0.68(0.41-1.12)	0.99(0.98-1.00)
					P:0.061	P:0.054	P:0.127	P:0.051	
Ever	167/384	12/35	0/3		1.00	0.79(0.40-1.56)	1.01(0.33-1.02)	0.73(0.37-1.43)	1.01(0.99-1.02)
					P:0.492	P:0.557	P:0.350	P:0.558	
Alcohol use									
Never	349/1193	20/118	1/2		1.00	0.58(0.36-0.95)	1.71(0.16-18.91)	0.60(0.37-0.97)	1.78(0.16-19.65)
					P:0.027	P:0.538	P:0.034	P:0.525	
Ever	103/140	10/15	1/1		1.00	0.91(0.39-2.10)	1.36(0.08-21.99)	0.93(0.42-2.10)	1.37(0.09-22.16)
					P:0.818	P:0.828	P:0.870	P:0.823	

Bold values indicate statistical significance ($P < 0.05$).

Table 4 displays the stratified IGFBP3 gene rs6953668 polymorphism. Wild-type GG was used as the reference genotype, GA indicates the wild type genotype, and AA represents the homozygous genotype. In the gender subgroup, heterozygous GA mutations in the male group showed statistically significant differences between the cases and the control group, $P=0.043$ and OR 95%CI=0.59(0.35-0.99). In addition, heterozygous GA+AA mutations in the male group also showed statistically significant differences between the cases and the control group, with $P=0.047$ and OR 95%CI=0.59(0.36-0.99). However, no statistical difference was found in other genotypes.

In the age subgroup, heterozygous GA mutations in the

≥61 group revealed statistically significant differences between the cases and the control group, with $P=0.028$ and OR 95%CI=0.51(0.28-0.94). Furthermore, heterozygous GA+AA mutations in the ≥61 group also showed statistically significant differences between the cases and the control group, with $P=0.035$ and OR 95%CI=0.535 (0.30-0.97).

In the alcohol use subgroup, heterozygous GA mutations and heterozygous GA+AA mutations in the “never” alcohol use subgroup showed statistically significant differences between the cases and the control group, with $P=0.027$ and OR 95%CI=0.58(0.36-0.95), and $P=0.034$ and OR 95%CI=0.60(0.37-0.97), respectively.

Table 5: Stratified analyses between IGFBP3 gene rs3110697 polymorphism and risk by sex, age, smoking status and alcohol consumption.

variate	IGFBP3 rs3110697 (case/control)				OR (95%CI); P				
	GG	GA	AA		GG	GA	AA	GA+AA	AAvs(GA+GG)
Sex									
Male	175/ 566	130/363	22/69		1.00	1.14(0.88-1.48)	1.01(0.61-1.69)	1.12(0.87-1.47)	0.97(0.59-1.60)
						P:0.335	P:0.960	P:0.384	P:0.908
Female	79/261	71 /178	8/35		1.00	1.32(0.91-1.91)	0.76(0.38-1.70)	1.23(0.85-1.76)	0.67(0.30-1.47)
						P:0.146	P:0.495	P:0.269	P:0.316
Age (years)									
< 61	113/ 381	91/ 251	16/51		1.00	1.22(0.89-1.68)	1.06(0.58-1.93)	1.20(0.88-1.62)	0.97(0.54-1.74)
						P:0.217	P:0.854	P:0.252	P:0.924

≥61	141/446 110/290 14 /53	1.00	1.20(0.90-1.60)	0.84(0.45-1.55)	1.14(0.87-1.51)	1.29(0.70-2.37)
		P:0.218		P:0.569	P:0.347	P:0.408
Smoking status						
Never	157/ 587 128/391 22/71	1.00	1.22(0.94-1.60)	0.86(0.52-1.44)	1.20(0.93-1.55)	1.06(0.45-1.75)
		P:0.136		P:0.571	P:0.166	P:0.808
Ever	97/ 240 73 /150 8/33	1.00	1.20(0.84-1.74)	0.60(0.27-1.35)	1.10(0.77-1.56)	0.56(0.25-1.23)
		P:0.319		P:0.211	P:0.613	P:0.142
Alcohol use						
Never	195/743 153/483 22/90	1.00	1.21(0.95-1.54)	0.93(0.57-1.52)	1.16(0.92-1.47)	0.86(0.53-1.39)
		P:0.125		P:0.777	P:0.199	P:0.542
Ever	59/84 48/58 8/14	1.00	1.18(0.71-1.96)	0.81(0.32-2.06)	1.11(0.68-1.79)	0.76(0.31-1.87)
		P:0.526		P:0.663	P:0.679	P:0.548

Table 5 Rs3110697 polymorphism in IGFBP3 gene according to stratification results: wild-type GG represents the reference genotype, GA indicates wild type genotype, AA represents the homozygous genotype, dominant model, and recessive model, with no statistical significance in each group.

Discussion

Probably related to diet, H. pylori infection, inflammation, genetic factors and environmental factors, etc. Genetic factors play an important role in the development of gastric cancer, but the underlying mechanism remains unclear [15,16]. IGFBP-3 is a major type of IGF-binding protein with a relatively conserved structure and a high affinity for IGF-1. Based on previous studies, it is believed to be a multifunctional protein that inhibits the growth of cancer cells and induces apoptosis [17,18]. IGFBP3 inhibits the proliferation and induces apoptosis in a variety of tumor cells, including prostate, colorectal and gastric cancers, by interfering with the activity of IGF-I [19-21].

There are numerous studies related to gastric cancer and genetic polymorphisms. For example, SEMA5A, a gastric cancer-associated gene, is highly expressed in gastric cancer cells and promotes the proliferation and metastatic biological activity of cancer cells [22]. Zhao et al. found that TLR2 rs3804100 was associated with gastric cancer prognosis and was independent of Helicobacter pylori infection [23]. Furthermore, Emmanouil Liarmakopoulos et al. discovered that the E-selectin S128R C allele might confer increased susceptibility to gastric cancer development and was correlated with a poor prognosis [24]. Nevertheless, there are few studies exploring the gene polymorphisms associated with IGFBP3 and gastric cancer.

In this study, the rs6953668, and rs3110697 locus polymorphisms of the IGFBP3 gene were detected in gastric cancer patients and healthy individuals. The genotype frequency distribution of these two loci met the criteria of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium law, and the samples were representative of the population. Wild-type GG-based GA heterozygous mutations demonstrated no statistically significant difference between the two groups ($P=0.050$). However, after adjustment using logistic regression, significant differences between the groups were found in drinking, smoking, age and sex ($P_a = 0.027$). The frequency of distribution of GA+AA mutants in both groups was $P=0.072$, statistically different after adjusting for confounding factors $P_a=0.038$, OR 95% CI=0.81 (0.66-0.99). This finding is consistent with Tang et al., who reported that the IGFBP3 gene rs6953668 G > A polymorphism may be associated with genetic susceptibility to EGJA in the Han Chinese population in eastern China [25]. In further stratification experiments, the mutant

phenotype of IGFBP3 gene rs6953668 at this locus showed significant differences in sex, age and alcohol consumption. Age 61 years or older, male, and the presence or absence of alcohol consumption were all factors affecting the association of gastric cancer with this gene polymorphism.

In this study, no statistically significant allele frequencies were observed at the rs3110697 locus of the IGFBP3 gene between GC patients and healthy subjects. There were no significant differences in the gene model and variant distribution in GC patients compared to controls. In addition, analysis of age, alcohol consumption, smoking, and gender for different genotypes in the case group rs3110697 showed no significant correlation with gastric cancer susceptibility. This is consistent with Khatoon Karimi et al., who found that IGFBP3 rs3110697 was not associated with the risk of colorectal cancer [26]. However, Liu et al. found that IGFBP3 rs3110697 G>A was associated with a significantly reduced risk of ESCC [27]. The difference in findings may be due to the size of the sample, and differences between diseases and races, among others.

Limitations

However, this study also has some limitations. First, a limited number of patients with gastric cancer were included, which may prevent strong conclusions from being drawn. Second, only 2 SNPs were selected and genotyped, which may lead to insufficient coverage. Based on the complexity of gastric cancer disease and many uncertainties, a larger sample size and multi-gene combination analysis should be performed to confirm the results.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study suggests that the IGFBP3 gene rs6953668 G>A polymorphism may be associated with genetic susceptibility to gastric cancer in the Chinese Han population. In contrast, the IGFBP3 gene rs3110697 polymorphism may not be associated with genetic susceptibility to gastric cancer.

Smoking and drinking are associated with the occurrence of gastric cancer. IGFBP3 gene rs6953668 polymorphism is significantly associated with susceptibility of GC.

Declarations

Supplementary information: The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the supplementary information file.

Acknowledgments: This study was supported in part by the Jiangsu Provincial Key Research and Development Special Fund

(BE2015666). In addition, the authors thank all participants of this study.

Authors' contributions: LZQ made substantial contributions to the conception, design, conduct, data acquisition. PHW,FZJ ,SAZ interpreted the clinical trial, selected samples, directed experiments, data analysis, and interpretation, and performed data acquisition and analysis; PHW and FZJ wrote and edited the manuscript. All authors agreed both to be personally accountable for the author's own contributions and ensure that questions related to accuracy or integrity of any part of the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: This research was partly funded by Jiangsu Provincial Key Research and Development Special Fund (BE2015666) and Jiangsu University Clinical Technology Project (JLY2021007, JLY2021009). We thank study subject for participation. The funding bodies played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials: The data that support the funding of the present are included in the supplementary information file named supplementary dates.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: This study has been approved by The Ethics Review Committee of the Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University. The principles of the Declaration of Helsinki were followed when conducting the study. The subjects had agreed and provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Competing interests: Not applicable.

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*. 2021; 71: 209-249.
2. Bakhti SZ, Latifi Navid S, Safaralizadeh R. Helicobacter pylori-related risk predictors of gastric cancer: The latest models, challenges, and future prospects. *Cancer Medicine*. 2020; 9: 4808-4822.
3. Smith S, Fowora M, Pellicano R. Infections with Helicobacter pylori and challenges encountered in Africa. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*. 2019; 25: 3183-3195.
4. Baral A, Kumar P, Halder R, Mani P, Yadav VK, et al. Quadruplex-single nucleotide polymorphisms (Quad-SNP) influence gene expression difference among individuals. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 2012; 40: 3800-3811.
5. Mavura MY, Huang FW. How Cancer Risk SNPs May Contribute to Prostate Cancer Disparities. *Cancer Research*. 2021; 81: 3764-3765.
6. Fanfani V, Citi L, Harris AL, Pezzella F, Stracquadanio G, et al. The Landscape of the Heritable Cancer Genome. *Cancer Research*. 2021; 81: 2588-2599.
7. Cao J, Yee D. Disrupting Insulin and IGF Receptor Function in Cancer. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2021; 22: 555.
8. Wade M, Li YC, Wahl GM. MDM2, MDMX and p53 in oncogenesis and cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2013; 13: 83-96.
9. Kudo Y, Tsunematsu T, Takata T. Oncogenic role of RUNX3 in head and neck cancer. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*. 2011; 112: 387-393.
10. Annunziata M, Granata R, Ghigo E. The IGF system. *Acta Diabetologica*. 2011; 48: 1-9.
11. Cai Q, Dozmorov M, Oh Y. IGFBP-3/IGFBP-3 Receptor System as an Anti-Tumor and Anti-Metastatic Signaling in Cancer. *Cells*. 2020; 9: 1261.
12. Terry KL, Tworoger SS, Gates MA, Cramer DW, Hankinson SE, et al. Common genetic variation in IGF1 , IGFBP1 and IGFBP3 and ovarian cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis*. 2009; 30: 2042-2046.
13. Deming SL, Ren Z, Wen W, Shu XO, Cai Q, et al. Genetic variation in IGF1, IGF-1R, IGFBP3, and IGFBP3 in breast cancer survival among Chinese women: a report from the Shanghai Breast Cancer Study. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*. 2007; 104: 309-319.
14. Chen S, Qiu H, Liu C, Wang Y, Tang W, et al. Relationship between IGF2BP2 and IGFBP3 polymorphisms and susceptibility to non-smallcell lung cancer: a case-control study in Eastern Chinese Han population. 2018; 10: 2965-2975.
15. Erika Ruíz-García JGSV, Lino-Silva CLHA, Vidal-Millán S, Lino-Silva LS,López-Camarillo C,et al. Gastric cancer in Latin America. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2018; 53: 124-129.
16. Amieva M, Peek RM. Pathobiology of Helicobacter pylori-Induced Gastric Cancer. *Gastroenterology*. 2016; 150: 64-78.
17. Regel I, Eichenmüller M, Joppien S, Liebl J, Häberle B, et al. IGFBP3 impedes aggressive growth of pediatric liver cancer and is epigenetically silenced in vascular invasive and metastatic tumors. *Molecular cancer*. 2012; 11: 9-9.
18. Jogie-Brahim S, Feldman D, Oh Y. Unraveling insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 actions in human disease. *Endocr Rev*. 2009; 30: 417-437.
19. Chan YX, Alfonso H, Paul Chubb SA, Ho KKY, Fegan PG, et al. Higher IGFBP3 is associated with increased incidence of colorectal cancer in older men independently of IGF1. *Clinical Endocrinology*. 2018; 88: 333-340.
20. Yi HK, Hwang PH, Yang DH, Kang CW, Lee DY, et al. Expression of the insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) and the IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs) in human gastric cancer cells. *European journal of cancer (1990)*. 2001; 37: 2257-2263.
21. Igarashi K, Yui Y, Watanabe K, Kumai J, Nishizawa Y, et al. Molecular evidence of IGFBP-3 dependent and independent VD3 action and its nonlinear response on IGFBP-3 induction in prostate cancer cells. *BMC Cancer*. 2020; 20: 802.
22. Pan G, Lv H, Ren H, Wang Y, Liu Y, et al. Elevated expression of semaphorin 5A in human gastric cancer and its implication in carcinogenesis. *Life Sciences*, 2010; 86: 139-144.
23. Zhao D, Wu YH, Zhao TC, Jia ZF, Cao DH, et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in Toll-like receptor genes are associated with the prognosis of gastric cancer and are not associated with Helicobacter pylori infection. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*. 2019; 73: 384-389.
24. Liarmakopoulos E, Gazouli M, Aravantinos G, Theodoropoulos G, Rizos S, et al. E-Selectin S128R Gene Polymorphism in Gastric Cancer. *The International Journal of Biological Markers*. 2013; 28: 38-42.
25. Tang W, Chen S, Liu J, Liu C, Wang Y, et al. Investigation of IGF1, IGF2BP2, and IGFBP3 variants with lymph node status and esophagogastric junction adenocarcinoma risk. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*. 2019; 120: 5510-5518.

-
26. Karimi K, Mahmoudi T, Karimi N, Dolatmoradi H, Arkani M, et al. Is there an Association between Variants in Candidate Insulin Pathway Genes IGF-I, IGFBP-3, INSR, and IRS2 and Risk of Colorectal Cancer in the Iranian Population. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2013; 14: 5011-5016.
 27. Liu C, Tang W, Chen S, Wang Y, Qiu H, et al. IGFBP3 polymorphisms and risk of esophageal cancer in a Chinese population. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2015; 8: 17006-170014.